

The second term is given by (12.28). The first term equals

$$-\left[ X^{J'}(\tau, \sigma'), \dot{X}^I(\tau, \sigma) \right] = -(2\pi\alpha') i \eta^{IJ} \frac{d}{d\sigma'} \delta(\sigma' - \sigma) = 2\pi\alpha' i \eta^{IJ} \frac{d}{d\sigma} \delta(\sigma - \sigma'). \quad (12.32)$$

To obtain this result we noted that a  $\sigma'$  derivative can be traded for minus a  $\sigma$  derivative when it acts on a function of  $(\sigma - \sigma')$ . Moreover, we used  $\delta(x) = \delta(-x)$ . We now see that both terms in (12.31) are equal, so

$$\left[ (\dot{X}^I + X^{I'}) (\tau, \sigma), (\dot{X}^J + X^{J'}) (\tau, \sigma') \right] = 4\pi\alpha' i \eta^{IJ} \frac{d}{d\sigma} \delta(\sigma - \sigma'). \quad (12.33)$$

In fact, more generally, we have found that

$$\boxed{\left[ (\dot{X}^I \pm X^{I'}) (\tau, \sigma), (\dot{X}^J \pm X^{J'}) (\tau, \sigma') \right] = \pm 4\pi\alpha' i \eta^{IJ} \frac{d}{d\sigma} \delta(\sigma - \sigma'),} \quad (12.34)$$

since only the cross terms contribute. Finally,

$$\left[ (\dot{X}^I \pm X^{I'}) (\tau, \sigma), (\dot{X}^J \mp X^{J'}) (\tau, \sigma') \right] = 0. \quad (12.35)$$

Equations (12.34) and (12.35) hold for  $\sigma, \sigma' \in [0, \pi]$ .

We consider now the commutator of the function defined in (12.26) at  $\sigma$ , with the same function at  $\sigma'$ . If  $\sigma, \sigma' \in [0, \pi]$  we can use the top right-hand side of (12.26) together with (12.33) to find

$$\begin{aligned} 2\alpha' \sum_{m', n' \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-im'(\tau+\sigma)} e^{-in'(\tau+\sigma')} [\alpha_{m'}^I, \alpha_{n'}^J] &= \left[ (\dot{X}^I + X^{I'}) (\tau, \sigma), (\dot{X}^J + X^{J'}) (\tau, \sigma') \right] \\ &= 4\pi\alpha' i \eta^{IJ} \frac{d}{d\sigma} \delta(\sigma - \sigma'). \end{aligned}$$

Cancelling the common factor of  $2\alpha'$ ,

$$\sum_{m', n' \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-im'(\tau+\sigma)} e^{-in'(\tau+\sigma')} [\alpha_{m'}^I, \alpha_{n'}^J] = 2\pi i \eta^{IJ} \frac{d}{d\sigma} \delta(\sigma - \sigma'). \quad (12.36)$$

We now claim that this equation actually holds for  $\sigma, \sigma' \in [-\pi, \pi]$ . If  $\sigma \in [-\pi, 0]$  and  $\sigma' \in [0, \pi]$ , or vice versa, the commutator we get is that in (12.35), which vanishes. Accordingly, the right-hand side of (12.36) also vanishes since in this case  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma'$  cannot be equal. When both  $\sigma, \sigma' \in [-\pi, 0]$ , the commutator is

$$\left[ (\dot{X}^I - X^{I'}) (\tau, -\sigma), (\dot{X}^J - X^{J'}) (\tau, -\sigma') \right], \quad (12.37)$$

and making use of (12.34), this equals

$$= -4\pi\alpha' i \eta^{IJ} \frac{d}{d(-\sigma)} \delta(-\sigma + \sigma') = 4\pi\alpha' i \eta^{IJ} \frac{d}{d\sigma} \delta(\sigma - \sigma'), \quad (12.38)$$

which coincides with the result that led to (12.36). We have therefore shown that (12.36) holds for  $\sigma, \sigma' \in [-\pi, \pi]$ .